

## Project Note

Date: January 8, 2008

Project Number: TTEM1-05-003-0019

Name: Shanna Davis

Firm: Tetra Tech EM Inc.

Title: Environmental Scientist

Signature:

*Shanna Davis*

Subject: Summary of J-Qualified Data

### PROJECT NOTE SUMMARY

The analytical results presented in the table in the following pages are for samples collected at the Barite Hill property in McCormick, McCormick County, South Carolina. The samples were collected by South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) during the 2004 preliminary assessment/site investigation (PA/SI), by Response Engineering and Analytical Contract (REAC) during the 2007 removal site evaluation (RSE), and by Tetra Tech during the 2007 expanded site inspection (ESI).

The samples collected by SCDHEC during the 2004 PA/SI were analyzed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 4 Science and Ecosystem Support Division (SESD). Data validation was conducted by EPA Region 4 SESD.

The samples collected by REAC during the 2007 RSE as well as the samples collected by Tetra Tech during the 2007 ESI were analyzed under the EPA Contract Laboratory Program. Data validation for both sampling events was conducted by EPA Region 4 SESD.

The explanations used to determine the bias direction for the "J"-flagged estimated analytical results are presented in Reference 61.

All "J"-flagged estimated data for the PA/SI, RSE, and ESI were adjusted according to guidance presented in the EPA fact sheet "Using Qualified Data to Document an Observed Release and Observed Contamination" dated November 1996 (Ref. 62).

The determination of the bias direction was obtained from the EPA Region 4, SESD, Office of Quality Assurance.

A Tetra Tech chemist provided the bias directions for the following data qualifiers.

Project Number 07-0512: Performance evaluation (PE) sample recovery greater than control limits – The recovery of the known amount spiked into the PE sample was greater than the control limits; therefore, the instrument is reading more analyte in the sample than is known to be present. High bias is indicated (see Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 1 of 160, 3 of 160).

Project Number 07-0377: PE sample recovery outside warning limits – The recovery of the known amount spiked into the PE sample was greater than the control limits; therefore, the instrument is reading more analyte in the sample than is known to be present. High bias is indicated (see Ref. 56, pp. 1, 3).

Result greater than the method detection limit (MDL) but less than the method reporting limit (MRL) – The result was detected, but at a level below the MRL established from the confidence level stated in the analytical method. Therefore, the value reported is imprecise and may be higher or lower than the result reported. Bias is unknown.

### RESPONSE REQUIRED

( ☒ ) None ( ☐ ) Phone call ( ☐ ) Memo ( ☐ ) Letter ( ☐ ) Report

cc:

File ( ☒ )

Project Manager ( ☐ )

Principal Investigator ( ☐ )

Other (specify)



TETRA TECH

### Summary of J Qualified Data

Investigation	Sample ID/Type of Sample	Sample Media	Hazardous Substance	Concentration	Bias Type	Calculation and Concentration (mg/kg)
RSE	BH-247-7 Background	Sediment	Copper	30J	Matrix spike recovery less than 10% - Low Bias PE sample recovery outside warning limits – High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Low bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 56, pp. 2, 3, 8, 73; 61, p. 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 20	$30 \times 1.22 = 36.60$
RSE	BH-247-7 Background	Sediment	Zinc	47J	Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 19% Unknown Bias Ref. 56, pp. 3, 8, 73; 61, p. 1; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 20	$47J \times 1.5 = 70.50$
RSE	BH247-6 Release	Sediment	Copper	300J	Matrix spike recovery less than 10% - Low Bias PE sample recovery outside warning limits – High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) High bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 56, pp. 2, 3, 8, 71; 61, p. 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 20	$300 \div 1.22 = 245.90$
RSE	BH247-8 Release	Sediment	Copper	540J	PE sample recovery outside warning limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Refs. 56, pp. 3, 8, 75; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 22	$540 \div 1.22 = 442.62$

Investigation	Sample ID/Type of Sample	Sample Media	Hazardous Substance	Concentration	Bias Type	Calculation and Concentration (mg/kg)
RSE	BH247-13 Release	Sediment	Copper	3,700J	Matrix spike recovery less than 10% - Low Bias PE sample recovery outside warning limits – High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) High bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 56, pp. 2, 3, 8, 37; 61, p. 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 18	$3,700 \div 1.22 = 3,032.79$
RSE	BH247-13 Release	Sediment	Zinc	1,300J	Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 19% Unknown Bias Ref. 56, pp. 3, 8, 37; 61, p. 1; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 18	$1,300J \div 1.5 = 866.67$
RSE	BH247-19 Release	Sediment	Copper	300J	Matrix spike recovery less than 10% - Low Bias PE sample recovery outside warning limits – High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) High bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 56, pp. 2, 3, 8, 43; 61, p. 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 18	$300 \div 1.22 = 245.90$
RSE	BH247-25 Release	Sediment	Copper	180J	Matrix spike recovery less than 10% - Low Bias PE sample recovery outside warning limits – High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) High bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 56, pp. 2, 3, 8, 51; 61, p. 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 19	$180 \div 1.22 = 147.54$

Investigation	Sample ID/Type of Sample	Sample Media	Hazardous Substance	Concentration	Bias Type	Calculation and Concentration (mg/kg)
RSE	BH247-525 Release	Sediment	Copper	200J	Matrix spike recovery less than 10% - Low Bias PE sample recovery outside warning limits – High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) High bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 56, pp. 2, 3, 8, 67; 61, p. 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 20	$200 \div 1.22 = 163.93$
RSE	BH247-27 Release	Sediment	Copper	220J	Matrix spike recovery less than 10% - Low Bias PE sample recovery outside warning limits – High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) High bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 56, pp. 2, 3, 8, 55; 61, p. 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 19	$220 \div 1.22 = 180.33$
ESI	BH-SD-01 Background	Sediment	Copper	160J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) No adjustment needed Refs. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, and 12 of 160; 62, p. 8	160

Investigation	Sample ID/Type of Sample	Sample Media	Hazardous Substance	Concentration	Bias Type	Calculation and Concentration (mg/kg)
ESI	BH-SD-02 Background	Sediment	Copper	29J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) No adjustment needed Refs. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, and 14 of 160; 62, p. 8	29
ESI	BH-SD-03 Background	Sediment	Lead	14J	Matrix spike recovery less than method control limits = 39% - Low Bias Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 15% - Unknown Bias Refs. 40, Appendix E, pp. 2 of 160, 8 of 160, 16 of 160; 61, pp. 1, 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 3	$14 \times 1.44 = 20.16$
ESI	BH-SD-03 Background	Sediment	Nickel	8.5J	Result greater than MDL but less than MRL Unknown Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 8 of 160, 16 of 160; 61, p. 1; 62, pp. 8, 18	$8.5 \times 1.35 = 11.48$
ESI	BH-SD-03 Background	Sediment	Zinc	54J	Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 34% Unknown Bias Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 16 of 160; 61, p. 1; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 3	$54 \times 1.50 = 81$



Investigation	Sample ID/Type of Sample	Sample Media	Hazardous Substance	Concentration	Bias Type	Calculation and Concentration (mg/kg)
ESI	BH-SD-08 Background	Sediment	Copper	19J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) No adjustment needed Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 26 of 160; 62, p. 8	19
ESI	BH-SD-08 Background	Sediment	Lead	29J	Matrix spike recovery less than method control limits = 39% - Low Bias Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 15% - Unknown Bias Refs. 40, Appendix E, pp. 2 of 160, 8 of 160, 26 of 160; 61, pp. 1, 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 3	$29 \times 1.44 = 41.76$
ESI	BH-SD-08 Background	Sediment	Nickel	8.9J	Result greater than MDL but less than MRL Unknown Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 8 of 160, 26 of 160; 61, p. 1; 62, pp. 8, 18	$8.9 \times 1.35 = 12.02$
ESI	BH-SD-08 Background	Sediment	Zinc	53J	Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 34% Unknown Bias Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 26 of 160; 61, p. 1; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 3	$53 \times 1.5 = 79.5$

Investigation	Sample ID/Type of Sample	Sample Media	Hazardous Substance	Concentration	Bias Type	Calculation and Concentration (mg/kg)
ESI	BH-SD-05 Release	Sediment	Copper	810J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 20 of 160; 62, pp. 8, 18	$810 \div 1.22 = 663.93$
ESI	BH-SD-07 Release	Sediment	Copper	1,100J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 24 of 160; 62, pp. 8, 18	$1,100 \div 1.22 = 901.64$
ESI	BH-SD-11 Release	Sediment	Copper	670J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 34 of 160; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 4	$670 \div 1.22 = 549.18$
ESI	BH-SD-11 Release	Sediment	Lead	220J	Matrix spike recovery less than method control limits = 39% - Low Bias Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 15% - Unknown Bias Unknown bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 40, Appendix E, pp. 2 of 160, 8 of 160, 34 of 160; 61, pp. 1, 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 4	$220 \div 1.44 = 152.78$

Investigation	Sample ID/Type of Sample	Sample Media	Hazardous Substance	Concentration	Bias Type	Calculation and Concentration (mg/kg)
ESI	BH-SD-12 Release	Sediment	Copper	950J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 36 of 160; 62, pp. 8, 18	$950 \div 1.22 = 778.69$
ESI	BH-SD-12 Release	Sediment	Lead	210J	Matrix spike recovery less than method control limits = 39% - Low Bias Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 15% - Unknown Bias Unknown bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 40, Appendix E, pp. 2 of 160, 8 of 160, 36 of 160; 61, pp. 1, 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 4	$210 \div 1.44 = 145.83$
ESI	BH-SD-12 Release	Sediment	Zinc	1,300J	Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 34% Unknown Bias Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 36 of 160; 61, p. 1; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 4	$1,300 \div 1.5 = 866.67$
ESI	BH-SD-13 Release	Sediment	Copper	1,400J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 38 of 160; 62, pp. 8, 18	$1,400 \div 1.22 = 1,147.54$



Investigation	Sample ID/Type of Sample	Sample Media	Hazardous Substance	Concentration	Bias Type	Calculation and Concentration (mg/kg)
ESI	BH-SD-13 Release	Sediment	Lead	240J	Matrix spike recovery less than method control limits = 39% - Low Bias Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 15% - Unknown Bias Unknown bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 40, Appendix E, pp. 2 of 160, 8 of 160, 38 of 160; 61, pp. 1, 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 4	$240 \div 1.44 = 166.67$
ESI	BH-SD-13 Release	Sediment	Nickel	90J	Result greater than MDL but less than MRL Unknown Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 8 of 160, 38 of 160; 61, p. 1; 62, pp. 8, 18	$90 \div 1.35 = 66.67$
ESI	BH-SD-13 Release	Sediment	Zinc	640J	Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 34% Unknown Bias Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 38 of 160; 61, p. 1; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 4	$640 \div 1.5 = 426.67$
ESI	BH-SD-14 Release	Sediment	Copper	220J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 40 of 160; 62, pp. 8, 18	$220 \div 1.22 = 180.33$

Investigation	Sample ID/Type of Sample	Sample Media	Hazardous Substance	Concentration	Bias Type	Calculation and Concentration (mg/kg)
ESI	BH-SD-15 Release	Sediment	Copper	340J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 42 of 160; 62, pp. 8, 18	$340 \div 1.22 = 278.69$
ESI	BH-SD-15 Release	Sediment	Lead	270J	Matrix spike recovery less than method control limits = 39% - Low Bias Serial dilution precision outside method control limits = 15% - Unknown Bias Unknown bias is applied to be conservative Refs. 40, Appendix E, pp. 2 of 160, 8 of 160, 42 of 160; 61, pp. 1, 2; 62, pp. 8, 18; 63, p. 4	$270 \div 1.44 = 187.50$
ESI	BH-SD-16 Release	Sediment	Copper	3,200J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 44 of 160; 62, pp. 8, 18	$3,200 \div 1.22 = 2,622.95$
ESI	BH-SD-17 Release	Sediment	Copper	5,900J	PE sample recovery greater than control limits High Bias (see page 1 of this project note) Ref. 40, Appendix E, pp. 3 of 160, 8 of 160, 46 of 160; 62, pp. 8, 18	$5,900 \div 1.22 = 4,836.07$

Investigation	Sample ID/Type of Sample	Sample Media	Hazardous Substance	Concentration	Bias Type	Calculation and Concentration (mg/kg)
PA/SI	BH-019-SO	Soil	Arsenic	39AJ	Matrix precision outside method acceptance criteria Matrix spike precision outside guidance levels To be conservative an unknown bias is assumed Ref. 50, p. 66; 62, pp. 8, 18	$39 \div 1.74 = 22.41$
PA/SI	BH-019-SO	Soil	Copper	96AJ	Matrix spike precision outside guidance levels To be conservative an unknown bias is assumed Ref. 50, p. 66; 62, pp. 8, 18	$96 \div 1.22 = 78.69$

Notes:

J	Analyte analyzed in replicate. Reported value is average of replicates.
ESI	Expanded site inspection (conducted by Tetra Tech in June 2007)
ID	Identification
J	Identification of analyte is acceptable; reported value is an estimate
MDL	Method detection limit
MRL	Minimum reporting limit
PA/SI	Preliminary assessment/site inspection (conducted by SCDHEC in September 2004)
PE	Performance evaluation
REAC	Response Engineering and Analytical Contract
RSE	Removal site evaluation (conducted by REAC in March 2007)
SCDHEC	South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control
SD	Sediment